ORIGINAL

CAUSE NO. 2020-05585-B

FILED
Marilyn Burgess
District Clerk
NOV 06 2025
Time: 12:25 pm
Harris County, Texas
By JH
Deputy

INEZ VAZQUEZ LOPEZ, OLIVA FLORES-MEJIA, SUZANNE SLAVINSKY ERICA BRAVO A/N/F OF R.B., MINOR And JAIME GONZALES	§	**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF**
Plaintiffs,	§	
VS.	§	**HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS**
3M COMPANY	§	
Defendant	§	**295TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

p. 25

Judicial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

CHARGE OF THE COURT

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

After closing arguments, you will go to the jury room to decide the case, answer the questions that are attached, and reach a verdict. You may discuss the case with the other jurors only when you are all together in the jury room.

Remember my previous instructions: Do not discuss the case with anyone else, either in person or by any other means. Do not do any independent investigation about the case or conduct any research. Do not look up any words in dictionaries or on the Internet. Do not post information about the case on the Internet. Do not share any special knowledge or experiences with other jurors. Do not use your cell phone or any other electronic device during your deliberations for any reason.

Any notes you have taken are for your own personal use. You may take your notes back into the jury room and consult them during deliberations, but do not show or read your notes to your fellow jurors during your deliberations. Your notes are not evidence. Each of you should rely on your independent recollection of the evidence and not be influenced by the fact that another juror has or has not taken notes.

You must leave your notes with the bailiff when you are not deliberating. The bailiff will give your notes to me promptly after collecting them from you. I will make sure your notes are kept in a safe, secure location and not disclosed to anyone. After you complete your deliberations, the bailiff will collect your notes. When you are released from jury duty, the bailiff will promptly destroy your notes so that nobody can read what you wrote.

Here are the instructions for answering the questions:

1. Do not let bias, prejudice, or sympathy play any part in your deliberations. Everyone, including me, has feelings, assumptions, perceptions, fears, and stereotypes that we may not be aware of but that can affect what we see and hear, how we remember what we see and hear, and how we make decisions. Because you are making important

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM
This instrument is of poor quality
at the time of imaging

decisions as the jurors in this case, you must evaluate the evidence carefully, and you must not jump to conclusions based on personal likes or dislikes, generalizations, gut feelings, prejudices, sympathies, stereotypes, or biases. Techniques to identify and check one's implicit biases include: slowing down and examining your thought processes thoroughly to identify where you may be relying on reflexive, gut reactions or making assumptions that have no basis in the evidence; asking yourself whether you would view the evidence differently if the players were reversed or other types of people were involved; and listening carefully to the opinions of your fellow jurors, each of whom brings a different, valid perspective to the table. Our system of justice is counting on you to render a just verdict based on the evidence, not on biases.

2. Base your answers only on the evidence admitted in court and on the law that is in these instructions and questions. Do not consider or discuss any evidence that was not admitted in the courtroom.

3. You are to make up your own minds about the facts. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to give their testimony. But on matters of law, you must follow my instructions.

4. If my instructions use a word in a way that is different from its ordinary meaning, use the meaning I give you, which will be a proper legal definition.

5. All the questions and answers are important. No one should say that any question or answer is not important.

6. Answer "yes" or "no" to all questions unless you are told otherwise. A "yes" answer must be based on a preponderance of the evidence unless you are told otherwise. Whenever a question requires an answer other than "yes" or "no," your answer must be based on a preponderance of the evidence unless you are told otherwise.

> The term "preponderance of the evidence" means the greater weight of credible evidence presented in this case. If you do not find that a preponderance of the evidence supports a "yes" answer, then answer "no." A preponderance of the evidence is not measured by the number of witnesses or by the number of documents admitted in evidence. For a fact to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence, you must find that the fact is more likely true than not true.

7. Do not decide who you think should win before you answer the questions and then just answer the questions to match your decision. Answer each question carefully without considering who will win. Do not discuss or consider the effect your answers will have.

8. Do not answer questions by drawing straws or by any method of chance.

9. Do not trade your answers. For example, do not say, "I will answer this question your way if you answer another question my way."

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

10. The answers to the questions must be based on the decision of at least ten of the twelve jurors. The same ten jurors must agree on every answer. Do not agree to be bound by a vote of anything less than ten jurors, even if it would be a majority.

11. A fact may be established by direct evidence or by circumstantial evidence or both. A fact is established by direct evidence when proved by documentary evidence or by witnesses who saw the act done or heard the words spoken. A fact is established by circumstantial evidence when it may be fairly and reasonably inferred from other facts proved.

12. You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of each witness and the weight to be given to his or her testimony. In weighing the testimony of a witness, you should consider their relationship to the party; their interest, if any, in the outcome of the case; their demeanor or manner of testifying; their opportunity to observe or acquire knowledge concerning the facts about which they have testified; their candor, fairness, and intelligence; and the extent to which they have been supported or contradicted by other credible evidence. You may, in short, accept or reject the testimony of any witness in whole or in part.

As I have said before, if you do not follow these instructions, you will be guilty of juror misconduct, and I might have to order a new trial and start this process over again. This would waste your time and the parties' money, and it would require the taxpayers of this county to pay for another trial. If a juror breaks any of these rules, tell that person to stop and report it to me immediately.

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

DEFINITIONS & INSTRUCTIONS

You are instructed that the following definitions apply to all of the Questions and Answers that I will give you:

"Plaintiffs" are Erica Bravo a/n/f of R.B., Minor, Ines Vazquez Lopez, Suzanne Slavinsky, Oliva Flores-Mejia, Jaime Gonzalez, Virginia Taylor, and LaKeith McKinney.

"3M" means defendant 3M Company.

"Watson Grinding" means defendants Watson Valve Services, Inc. and Watson Grinding and Manufacturing Co., LLC.

The "explosion" took place at the Watson Grinding Facility on January 24, 2020.

A fact may be established by direct evidence or by circumstantial evidence or both. A fact is established by direct evidence when proved by documentary evidence or by witnesses who saw the act done or heard the words spoken. A fact is established by circumstantial evidence when it may fairly and reasonably be inferred from other facts proved.

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

QUESTION 1

Did the negligence, if any, of those named below proximately cause the explosion?

"Negligence" means failure to use ordinary care, that is, failing to do that which a person of ordinary prudence would have done under the same or similar circumstances or doing that which a person of ordinary prudence would not have done under the same or similar circumstances.

"Ordinary care" means that degree of care that would be used by a person of ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances.

"Proximate cause" means a cause that was a substantial factor in bringing about an event, and without which cause such event would not have occurred. In order to be a proximate cause, the act or omission complained of must be such that a person using the degree of care required of him would have foreseen that the event, or some similar event, might reasonably result therefrom. There may be more than one proximate cause of an event.

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the following:

3M	YES
Watson Grinding	YES
Nader Salim	YES
Western	No
Frank Lomelo	No

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

QUESTION 2

Did the negligence, if any, of those named below proximately cause the explosion?

A party was negligent if:

1. that party undertook to perform services that it knew or should have known were necessary for the protection of Plaintiffs;

2. the party failed to exercise reasonable care in performing those services; and

3. either Watson relied on that party's performance or that party's performance increased the risk of harm to Plaintiffs.

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the following:

3M	YES
Watson	YES
Nader Salim	YES
Western	No
Frank Lomelo	No

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1 or 2 for more than one of those named below, then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Assign percentages of responsibility only to those you found caused or contributed to cause the explosion. The percentages you find must total 100 percent. The percentages must be expressed in whole numbers. The percentage of responsibility attributable to any one of those named below is not necessarily measured by the number of acts or omissions found. The percentage attributable to any one of those named below need not be the same percentage attributed to that one in answering another question.

QUESTION 3

For each party you found caused or contributed to cause the explosion, find the percentage of responsibility attributable to each:

1. 3M	49 %
2. Watson	49 %
3. Nader Salim	2 %
4. Western	0 %
5. Frank Lomelo	0 %
Total	**100 %**

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 4 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 or 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 4.

QUESTION 4

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate R.B., A Minor, for her damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Physical pain sustained in the past.

Answer: 3m

2. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, R.B., Minor will sustain in the future.

Answer: 8m

3. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by R.B., Minor. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 3m

4. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, R.B., Minor will sustain in the future

Answer: 8m

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

5. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 3m

6. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, R.B., Minor will sustain in the future.

Answer: 8m

7. Disfigurement sustained in the past.

Answer: 6m

8. Disfigurement that, in reasonable probability, R.B., Minor will sustain in the future.

Answer: 12m

9. Medical care expenses that, in reasonable probability, R.B., Minor will incur in the future

Answer: (Prior to Age 18) 2m

Answer: (After age 18) 49.2m

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 5 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 or 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 5.

QUESTION 5

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Ines Vazquez Lopez for her damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Physical pain sustained in the past.

Answer: 2m

2. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 4.3m

3. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by Ines Vazquez Lopez. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 2m

4. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 4.3m

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

5. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 5.8m

6. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 8m

7. Disfigurement sustained in the past.

Answer: 139K

8. Disfigurement that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: $524,640

9. Loss of earning capacity sustained in the past.

Answer: $10,600

10. Loss of earning capacity that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 196K

11. Loss of household services sustained in the past.

Answer: 30K

12. Loss of household services that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will sustain in the future.

Answer: $362,830

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

13. Medical care expenses that Ines Vazquez Lopez paid or incurred in the past.

Answer: $379,495

14. Medical care expenses that, in reasonable probability, Ines Vazquez Lopez will incur in the future.

Answer: $2,716,552

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 6 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 or 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 6.

QUESTION 6

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Oliva Flores-Mejia for her damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Physical pain sustained in the past.

Answer: 2m

2. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will sustain in the future.

Answer: 2.5m

3. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by Oliva Flores-Mejia. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 500K

4. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will sustain in the future.

Answer: 1m

5. Loss of earning capacity sustained in the past.

Answer: 96K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

6. Loss of earning capacity that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will sustain in the future.

Answer: 240K

7. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 435K

8. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will sustain in the future.

Answer: 2M

9. Disfigurement sustained in the past.

Answer: 5K

10. Disfigurement that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will sustain in the future.

Answer: ϕ

11. Medical care expenses that Oliva Flores-Mejia paid or incurred in the past.

Answer: $ 279,139

12. Medical care expenses that, in reasonable probability, Oliva Flores-Mejia will incur in the future.

Answer: 240K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 7 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 & 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 7.

QUESTION 7

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Suzanne Slavinsky for her damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Physical pain sustained in the past.

Answer: 2m

2. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: 1.8m

3. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by Suzanne Slavinsky. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 1m

4. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: 1m

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

5. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 500K

6. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: 2m

7. Disfigurement sustained in the past.

Answer: 5K

8. Disfigurement that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: Ø

9. Loss of earning capacity sustained in the past.

Answer: 24 K

10. Loss of earning capacity that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: Ø

11. Loss of household services sustained in the past.

Answer: 20K

12. Loss of household services that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will sustain in the future.

Answer: 100K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

13. Medical care expenses that Suzanne Slavinsky paid or incurred in the past.

Answer: $262,550

14. Medical care expenses that, in reasonable probability, Suzanne Slavinsky will incur in the future.

Answer: $739,114

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 8 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 & 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 8.

QUESTION 8

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Jaime Gonzalez for his damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage as listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Physical pain sustained in the past.

Answer: 1,5m

2. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Jaime Gonzalez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 3m

3. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by Jaime Gonzalez. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 2m

4. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Jaime Gonzalez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 2m

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

5. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 1m

6. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Jaime Gonzalez will sustain in the future.

Answer: 2m

7. Medical care expenses that Jaime Gonzalez paid or incurred in the past.

Answer: $237,903 83

8. Medical care expenses that, in reasonable probability, Jaime Gonzalez will incur in the future.

Answer: 250K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 9 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 & 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 9.

QUESTION 9

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Virginia Taylor for her damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage as listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Medical care expenses incurred in the past.

   Answer: $41,700

2. Physical pain sustained in the past.

   Answer: 25K

3. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Virginia Taylor will sustain in the future.

   Answer: 75K

4. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by Virginia Taylor. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

   Answer: 15K

5. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Virginia Taylor will sustain in the future.

   Answer: 20K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

6. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

Answer: 25K

7. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Virginia Taylor will sustain in the future.

Answer: 75K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

Answer Question 10 if you answered "Yes" for any party for Question 1 & 2. Otherwise, do not answer Question 10.

QUESTION 10

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate LaKeith McKinney for his damages, if any, resulting from the explosion?

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Consider the elements of damage ~~s~~ listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find. Do not increase or reduce the amount in one answer because of your answer to any other question about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

1. Medical care expenses incurred in the past.

   Answer: $ 91,899 60

2. Physical pain sustained in the past.

   Answer: 50K

3. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, LaKeith McKinney will sustain in the future.

   Answer: ∅

4. Mental anguish sustained in the past.

"Mental anguish" means a high degree of mental pain and distress substantially disrupting one's daily routine experienced by LaKeith McKinney. It is more severe than worry, anxiety, vexation, embarrassment, or anger.

Answer: 25K

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

5. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, LaKeith McKinney will sustain in the future.

Answer: 25K

6. Physical impairment sustained in the past.

Answer: 20K

"Physical impairment" means a loss or diminution of the injured party's ability to engage in tasks or activities for one's own benefit or enjoyment. In assessing damages for physical impairment, you may consider the loss of enjoyment of life. The effect of the physical impairment must be substantial and extend beyond any pain, suffering, mental anguish, loss, wages, or diminished earning capacity.

7. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, LaKeith McKinney will sustain in the future.

Answer: ~~$ 211,899 60~~ ϕ

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

PRESIDING JUROR:

1. When you go into the jury room to answer the questions, the first thing you will need to do is choose a presiding juror.

2. The presiding juror has these duties:

   a. have the complete charge read aloud if it will be helpful to your deliberations;

   b. preside over your deliberations, meaning manage the discussions, and see that you follow these instructions;

   c. give written questions or comments to the bailiff who will give them to the judge;

   d. write down the answers you agree on;

   e. get the signatures for the verdict certificate; and

   f. notify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict.

Do you understand the duties of the presiding juror? If you do not, please tell me now.

Instructions for Signing the Verdict Certificate:

1. Unless otherwise instructed, you may answer the questions on a vote of ten jurors. The same ten jurors must agree on every answer in the charge. This means you may not have one group of ten jurors agree on one answer and a different group of ten jurors agree on another answer.

2. If ten jurors agree on every answer, those ten jurors sign the verdict. If eleven jurors agree on every answer, those eleven jurors sign the verdict. If all twelve of you agree on every answer, you are unanimous and only the presiding juror signs the verdict.

3. All jurors should deliberate on every question. You may end up with all twelve of you agreeing on some answers, while only ten or eleven of you agree on other answers. But when you sign the verdict, only those ten who agree on every answer will sign the verdict.

Do you understand these instructions? If you do not, please tell me now.

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

JUDGE PRESIDING

November 3, 2025

VERDICT CERTIFICATE

Check one:

_____ Our verdict is unanimous. All 12 of us have agreed to each and every answer. The presiding juror has signed the certificate for all 12 of us.

_____ _____

Signature of Presiding Juror Printed Name of Presiding Juror

✓ Our verdict is not unanimous. Eleven of us have agreed to each and every answer and have signed the certificate below.

_____ Our verdict is not unanimous. Ten of us have agreed to each and every answer and have signed the certificate below.

	SIGNATURE	NAME PRINTED
1.		JULIAN W. CHAMPLER
2.		Ana Alfaro
3.		Kiaira Green
4.		Diane Broach
5.		Michael J. Sanchez
6.		Jaedyn LeBlanc
7.		Stacey Stanko
8.		Jamal Lake
9.		DERRICK MARSHALL
10.		Jeffrey Thompson
11.		Michael Nguyen

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk